

Quantum Weak Parity Problem

Mohammad Bavarian (joint with S. Aaronson)
bavarian@mit.edu

Quantum Complexity Project

Dec 12th 2012

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Lower Bounds
- 3 Upper Bounds
- 4 The Gap and the Case $k \ll \sqrt{n}$

Origin of the Problem

Only thing I will for sure remember from this course in two years:
 PARITY needs $\Omega(n)$ queries in a black box-model !
 Is this end of PARITY's story? NO!

Question

Imagine given access to a black-box $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and still want to compute $PARITY(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

*Problem? **allowed to make only k queries.***

*Maybe box becomes untrustable after k queries or explodes or something !
 Can you do something intelligent regarding PARITY when $k \ll n$?*

Hope: we compute PARITY on large number of inputs ?!

Definition of Weak Parity Problem

Problem (Weak PARITY Problem)

What is the maximum size of a subset $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ such that there exists a (bounded error) quantum algorithm U that makes at most k queries to $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and satisfies for all $x \in A$

$$\Pr[U(x) = \text{PARITY}(x)] \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad \forall x \in A$$

Observation

A Classical randomized machine restricted to make $k < n$ queries might as well not bother to query the box at all and just output zero !

Classical Machines seem too weak for this problem. What about quantum? Quantum, **this work**.

Weak PARITY Recast

We defined Weak Parity as a **maximization problem** on the size of good set, i.e. where we can compute PARITY.

But our lower bound techniques work in the framework of **minimizing query complexity**.

A new definition:

Problem (Weak PARITY Recast)

What is the minimum query complexity of a quantum algorithm U that outputs PARITY with bounded error on a set of fractional size $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ of $Q_n = \{0, 1\}^n$.

Lower Bound Using Polynomial Method

Theorem

Any quantum algorithm U computing PARITY on a set A of size $(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)2^n$ requires at least $\Omega(\frac{n}{\log(1/\epsilon)})$.

Sketch of Proof

- Create an algorithm U' that uses U to compute PARITY on **every input** w.p. $> 1/2$.
- Polynomial Method $\rightarrow U'$ needs $\Omega(n)$ queries \rightarrow Lower bound on U .

The key is **self-reducibility** of PARITY.

- Pick a random vector $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. Computes PARITY of $Z = X + Y$. We know $PARITY(Y)$ because we generated it.
- Compute PARITY of Z : Run U on Z for $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ times. Take majority of answer. Will succeed w.p. $> 1/2$.



An Upper Bound

Theorem

There exist an algorithm U that makes only $O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)}}\right)$ queries to $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and computes PARITY on A a set of size $(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)2^n$.

Sketch of Proof

- The key is the case $\epsilon = 2^{-n}$. Observation: $OR_n = PARITY_n$ for $2^{n-1} + 1$ inputs.
- Conclude the general case: Partition the coordinates $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ into $m \approx \log(1/\epsilon)$ groups of size roughly $\frac{n}{m}$.
- Output $OR_m(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ where each y_i is the PARITY of the corresponding i -th group out of total m groups.



How About This Gap?

The gap looks small. Actually **it is not so**.

Back to original formulation of problem

Corollary (Gap Recast)

A quantum machine restricted to make only k queries to a black box can decide PARITY on a set A of size

$$\frac{1}{2} + 2^{-O(n^{2(1-c)})} \leq \frac{|A|}{2^n} \leq \frac{1}{2} + 2^{-\Omega(n^{1-c})}$$

Important Case

- Our lowest complexity algorithm required $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ queries.
- Don't know any non-trivial algorithm for $k \ll \sqrt{n}$. However, we cannot rule out algorithms succeeding on $\frac{1}{2} + 2^{-n^{0.4}}$ fraction say.
- So a **big gap** in some sense.

Weak Parity With Constant Queries?

Question

Can we do anything non-trivial using only $O(1)$ queries to the box?

Weak Parity With Constant Queries?

Question

Can we do anything non-trivial using only $O(1)$ queries to the box?

The answer is **No**.

Theorem

We at least need $\sqrt{\alpha(n)}$ queries to compute PARITY on a set of size $2^{n-1} + 1$

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{1}{2} \log n - \frac{1}{2} \log \log(n) + \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof Idea Extremal Graph theory over the hypercube. Lower Bound follows by showing *sensitivity* is at least $\alpha(n)$. □

Last Words: Improvements and Conjectures

Conjecture

We need $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ queries for Weak Parity for $2^{n-1} + 1$ size.

More generally we expect that the algorithm presented to be **optimal**. Improving the lower bound to $\Omega(n^\delta)$ might be hard. How do I know?

Last Words: Improvements and Conjectures

Conjecture

We need $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ queries for Weak Parity for $2^{n-1} + 1$ size.

More generally we expect that the algorithm presented to be **optimal**. Improving the lower bound to $\Omega(n^\delta)$ might be hard. How do I know? Relations to **sensitivity conjecture**.

Hence we restrict to **logarithmic regime**. We get some improvements. As corollary we have,

Theorem

For any $\delta > 0$ there exist $\beta > 0$ such that for $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$,

$$2^{s(f)} \geq \beta \deg(f)^{1-\delta}$$

This might be the **best upper bound** known on $\deg(f)$ in terms of $s(f)$.

Summary

- Introduced Weak Parity problem.
- Upper bound of $O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)}}\right)$ and lower bound of $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\log(1/\epsilon)}\right)$ for query complexity $PARITY_n$ on a set of fractional size $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$.
- Briefly mentioned the conjectures and theorems regarding the case $k \ll \sqrt{n}$.