Adversary-Based Parity Lower Bounds with Small Probability Bias

Badih Ghazi

Adversary-Based Parity Lower Bounds with Small Probability Bias

• Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 - q(n) where q(n) = o(1).

- Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 q(n) where q(n) = o(1).
- Using the polynomial method: $\Omega(n)$ for any $q(n) \ge 0$.

- Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 q(n) where q(n) = o(1).
- Using the polynomial method: $\Omega(n)$ for any $q(n) \ge 0$.
- Additive adversary arguments:

- Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 q(n) where q(n) = o(1).
- Using the polynomial method: $\Omega(n)$ for any $q(n) \ge 0$.
- Additive adversary arguments:
 - Reichardt's characterization of Q(f) in terms of Adv[±](f) holds only in the bounded error case.

$$Q_{1/2-q(n)}(\textit{Parity}_n) \geq \textit{Adv}_{1/2-q(n)}^{\pm}(\textit{Parity}_n) = \Omega((q(n))^2 n)$$

- Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 q(n) where q(n) = o(1).
- Using the polynomial method: $\Omega(n)$ for any $q(n) \ge 0$.
- Additive adversary arguments:
 - Reichardt's characterization of Q(f) in terms of Adv[±](f) holds only in the bounded error case.

$$Q_{1/2-q(n)}(\operatorname{\textit{Parity}}_n) \geq \operatorname{\textit{Adv}}_{1/2-q(n)}^{\pm}(\operatorname{\textit{Parity}}_n) = \Omega((q(n))^2 n)$$

Decays rapidly with q(n).

- Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 q(n) where q(n) = o(1).
- Using the polynomial method: $\Omega(n)$ for any $q(n) \ge 0$.
- Additive adversary arguments:
 - Reichardt's characterization of Q(f) in terms of Adv[±](f) holds only in the bounded error case.

$$Q_{1/2-q(n)}(\textit{Parity}_n) \geq \textit{Adv}_{1/2-q(n)}^{\pm}(\textit{Parity}_n) = \Omega((q(n))^2 n)$$

- Decays rapidly with q(n).
- Gives a trivial constant bound even for $q(n) = 1/\sqrt{n}$.

- Computing the parity function in the query model with error probability 1/2 q(n) where q(n) = o(1).
- Using the polynomial method: $\Omega(n)$ for any $q(n) \ge 0$.
- Additive adversary arguments:
 - Reichardt's characterization of Q(f) in terms of Adv[±](f) holds only in the bounded error case.

$$Q_{1/2-q(n)}(\textit{Parity}_n) \geq \textit{Adv}_{1/2-q(n)}^{\pm}(\textit{Parity}_n) = \Omega((q(n))^2 n)$$

- Decays rapidly with q(n).
- Gives a trivial constant bound even for $q(n) = 1/\sqrt{n}$.
- Question: Can we get a better lower bound using adversary-based arguments ?



• Show a lower bound of $\Omega(n)$ even for exponentially small q(n).

- Show a lower bound of $\Omega(n)$ even for exponentially small q(n).
 - ▶ Proof is based on a "quantum reduction" to the *t*-fold search problem, with $t = \theta(n)$.

- Show a lower bound of $\Omega(n)$ even for exponentially small q(n).
 - ▶ Proof is based on a "quantum reduction" to the *t*-fold search problem, with $t = \theta(n)$.
 - Adaptation of the proof of Cleve et. al to our setup.

- Show a lower bound of $\Omega(n)$ even for exponentially small q(n).
 - ▶ Proof is based on a "quantum reduction" to the *t*-fold search problem, with $t = \theta(n)$.
 - Adaptation of the proof of Cleve et. al to our setup.
 - Holds even for "weak" algorithms for parity.

Notation

- ▶ For any $S \subset [n]$, χ_S : Characteristic vector of S
- $x|_S$: Restriction of x to the subset S.

Notation

- For any $S \subset [n]$, χ_S : Characteristic vector of S
- $x|_S$: Restriction of x to the subset S.

• Observation [Scott Aaronson]: for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{S\subset [n]}(-1)^{Par_n(x|_S)}H_n|\chi_S\rangle=|x\rangle$$

Notation

- For any $S \subset [n]$, χ_S : Characteristic vector of S
- $x|_S$: Restriction of x to the subset S.
- Observation [Scott Aaronson]: for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{S\subset [n]}(-1)^{Par_n(x|_S)}H_n|\chi_S\rangle=|x\rangle$$

Given an algorithm that produces such a superposition with r(n) queries, get an algorithm that recovers |x> with r(n) queries.

Notation

- For any $S \subset [n]$, χ_S : Characteristic vector of S
- $x|_S$: Restriction of x to the subset S.
- Observation [Scott Aaronson]: for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{S\subset[n]}(-1)^{Par_{n}(x|_{S})}H_{n}|\chi_{S}\rangle=|x\rangle$$

- Given an algorithm that produces such a superposition with r(n) queries, get an algorithm that recovers |x> with r(n) queries.
- Need to deal with garbage.

A parity algorithm is coherent if on inputs *x* and *S*, it takes the state |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z*⟩ to |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z* + Par_n(*x*|_S)⟩.

- A parity algorithm is coherent if on inputs *x* and *S*, it takes the state |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z*⟩ to |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z* + Par_n(*x*|_S)⟩.
- The reduction
 - Start with the state $|x\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle$.

- A parity algorithm is coherent if on inputs *x* and *S*, it takes the state |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z*⟩ to |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z* + Par_n(*x*|_S)⟩.
- The reduction
 - Start with the state $|x\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle$.
 - Hadamard the last n + 1 qubits:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\sum_{(z,\chi_{\mathcal{S}})\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}}(-1)^{z}|x\rangle|\chi_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle|z\rangle$$

- A parity algorithm is coherent if on inputs *x* and *S*, it takes the state |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z*⟩ to |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z* + Par_n(*x*|_S)⟩.
- The reduction
 - Start with the state $|x\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle$.
 - Hadamard the last n + 1 qubits:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\sum_{(z,\chi_S)\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}}(-1)^z|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle$$

Apply the coherent parity algorithm and Hadamard the last (n + 1) qubits:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\sum_{(z,\chi_S)\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}}(-1)^{z+\textit{Par}_n(x|_S)}|x\rangle H_n|\chi_S\rangle H_1|z\rangle = |x\rangle|x\rangle|1\rangle$$

- A parity algorithm is coherent if on inputs *x* and *S*, it takes the state |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z*⟩ to |*x*⟩|*χ_S*⟩|*z* + Par_n(*x*|_S)⟩.
- The reduction
 - Start with the state $|x\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle$.
 - Hadamard the last n + 1 qubits:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\sum_{(z,\chi_S)\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}}(-1)^z|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle$$

Apply the coherent parity algorithm and Hadamard the last (n + 1) qubits:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\sum_{(z,\chi_S)\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}}(-1)^{z+\textit{Par}_n(x|_S)}|x\rangle H_n|\chi_S\rangle H_1|z\rangle = |x\rangle|x\rangle|1\rangle$$

Measure the middle n qubits in the standard basis: get x with probability 1!

- Claim
 - Let Σ_O be any finite set.
 - ▶ If there exists a coherent algorithm \mathcal{A} that computes *Parity_n* using r(n) queries, then for any function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \Sigma_O$, there is an algorithm \mathcal{B}_f that computes f exactly using r(n) queries.

Claim

- Let $t \leq \frac{n}{4e}$, $t = \theta(n)$.
- Let $q(n) = \Omega(e^{-t/16})$
- ▶ If A computes *Parity_n* with error probability $p_x(n)$ for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and for all x with |x| = t,

$$\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{S\subset\{0,1\}^n}p_{X|_S}(n) \le 1/2 - q(n)$$

• Then, \mathcal{A} makes $\Omega(n)$ queries.

Claim

- Let $t \leq \frac{n}{4e}$, $t = \theta(n)$.
- Let $q(n) = \Omega(e^{-t/16})$
- ▶ If A computes *Parity_n* with error probability $p_x(n)$ for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and for all x with |x| = t,

$$\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{S\subset\{0,1\}^n}p_{x|_S}(n)\leq 1/2-q(n)$$

- Then, \mathcal{A} makes $\Omega(n)$ queries.
- Corollary

•
$$Q_{\frac{1}{2}-e^{-c \cdot n}}(Parity_n) = \Omega(n)$$
 for any constant $c \leq \frac{1}{87}$.

General parity algorithms

• \mathcal{A} takes the state $|x\rangle|\chi_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle|z\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes w}$ to

 $a_{x,S}|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle|Par_n(x|_S)\rangle|J_{x,S}\rangle + b_{x,S}|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle|Par'_n(x|_S)\rangle|K_{x,S}\rangle$

where $|b_{x,S}|^2 = p_{x|S}(n)$, $|a_{x,S}|^2 + |b_{x,S}|^2 = 1$ and $|J_{x,S}\rangle$ and $|K_{x,S}\rangle$ are unit vectors.

General parity algorithms

• \mathcal{A} takes the state $|x\rangle|\chi_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle|z\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes w}$ to

 $|a_{x,S}|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle|Par_n(x|_S)\rangle|J_{x,S}\rangle + b_{x,S}|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle|Par'_n(x|_S)\rangle|K_{x,S}\rangle$

where $|b_{x,S}|^2 = p_{x|S}(n)$, $|a_{x,S}|^2 + |b_{x,S}|^2 = 1$ and $|J_{x,S}\rangle$ and $|K_{x,S}\rangle$ are unit vectors.

• Apply a CNOT gate and uncompute A:

$$|x\rangle|\chi_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle|z+\textit{Par}_n(x|_{\mathcal{S}})\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes(w+1)}+\sqrt{2}b_{x,\mathcal{S}}|M_{x,\mathcal{S},z}\rangle$$

where $|M_{x,S,z}\rangle$ satisfies the properties $|M_{x,S,0}\rangle = -|M_{x,S,1}\rangle$ and $\{|M_{x,S,0}\rangle\}_{x,S}$ is orthonormal.

General parity algorithms

• \mathcal{A} takes the state $|x\rangle|\chi_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle|z\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes w}$ to

 $|a_{x,S}|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle|Par_n(x|_S)\rangle|J_{x,S}\rangle + b_{x,S}|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z\rangle|Par'_n(x|_S)\rangle|K_{x,S}\rangle$

where $|b_{x,S}|^2 = p_{x|S}(n)$, $|a_{x,S}|^2 + |b_{x,S}|^2 = 1$ and $|J_{x,S}\rangle$ and $|K_{x,S}\rangle$ are unit vectors.

• Apply a CNOT gate and uncompute A:

$$|x\rangle|\chi_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle|z+\textit{Par}_n(x|_{\mathcal{S}})\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes(w+1)}+\sqrt{2}b_{x,\mathcal{S}}|M_{x,\mathcal{S},z}\rangle$$

where $|M_{x,S,z}\rangle$ satisfies the properties $|M_{x,S,0}\rangle = -|M_{x,S,1}\rangle$ and $\{|M_{x,S,0}\rangle\}_{x,S}$ is orthonormal.

- $|x\rangle|\chi_S\rangle|z + Par_n(x|_S)\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes (w+1)}$:
 - Output of a coherent parity algorithm
 - Not necessarily orthogonal to $|M_{x,S,z}\rangle$

• Apply Hadamard gate, the above algorithm and another Hadamard gate: $|x\rangle|x\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle^{\otimes(w+1)} + |\psi\rangle$

$$\begin{split} \||\psi\rangle\|_{2}^{2} &= \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \sum_{(z,\chi_{S})\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}} (-1)^{z} b_{x,S} |M_{x,S,z}\rangle\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \frac{4}{2^{n}} \sum_{\chi_{S}\in\{0,1\}^{n}} p_{x|_{S}}(n) \end{split}$$

 Apply Hadamard gate, the above algorithm and another Hadamard gate: |x⟩|x⟩|1⟩|0⟩^{⊗(w+1)} + |ψ⟩

$$\begin{split} \||\psi\rangle\|_{2}^{2} &= \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \sum_{(z,\chi_{S})\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}} (-1)^{z} b_{x,S} |M_{x,S,z}\rangle\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \frac{4}{2^{n}} \sum_{\chi_{S}\in\{0,1\}^{n}} p_{x|_{S}}(n) \end{split}$$

• $Pr[Obtaining x] \ge 4q^2(n)$ whenever

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{S \subset \{0,1\}^n} p_{x|_S}(n) \le (\frac{1}{2} - q(n))$$

• Holevo's theorem ?

- Holevo's theorem ?
 - n queries to the oracle might give n log n classical bits of information.

- Holevo's theorem ?
 - n queries to the oracle might give n log n classical bits of information.
- The *t*-fold search problem
 - Given $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and promised that |x| = t, find the subset $J \subset [n]$ of 1's of x.

- Holevo's theorem ?
 - n queries to the oracle might give n log n classical bits of information.
- The *t*-fold search problem
 - Given $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and promised that |x| = t, find the subset $J \subset [n]$ of 1's of x.
- For every $t \leq \frac{n}{4e}$ and every $\epsilon = 1 \Omega(e^{-t/8})$, $Q_{\epsilon}(t\text{-fold search}) = \Omega(\sqrt{tn})$.
 - Proof using the earliest version of the multiplicative adversary method(Ambianis 2005, Spalek 2007)

- Holevo's theorem ?
 - n queries to the oracle might give n log n classical bits of information.
- The *t*-fold search problem
 - Given $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and promised that |x| = t, find the subset $J \subset [n]$ of 1's of x.
- For every $t \leq \frac{n}{4e}$ and every $\epsilon = 1 \Omega(e^{-t/8})$, $Q_{\epsilon}(t\text{-fold search}) = \Omega(\sqrt{tn})$.
 - Proof using the earliest version of the multiplicative adversary method(Ambianis 2005, Spalek 2007)
- Conclude: The claim holds for all $q(n) = \Omega(e^{-t/16})$.